UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,035	12/14/2004	Garfield P. Royer	1729-34	5476
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 11/14/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203			SHEIKH, HUMERA N	
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/518,035	ROYER, GARFIELD P.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Humera N. Sheikh	1615			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Au  2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5,11-14,17,20-26,28- 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6-10,15,16,18,19,27,35,39 and 40 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	34,36-38 and 41 is/are withdrawr is/are rejected.	n from consideration.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the orange Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is objected to by the Example 11).	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/14/04.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	nte			

Page 2

Status of the Application

Receipt of the Response to Restriction/Election requirement and Applicant's

Arguments/Remarks, all filed 08/13/08 and the Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) filed

12/14/04 is acknowledged.

Applicant's election of Group I (claims 1-41) and Election of Species (1) Matrix

Polymer: dextran sulfate; (2) Coating: HPMC; (3) Form: bead, sphere, granule; and (4)

Medicinal: antineoplastic, in the reply filed on 13 August 2008 is acknowledged. Because

applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction

requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 5, 11-14, 17, 20-26, 28-34, 36-38 and 41 have been withdrawn from further

consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being

no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on

08/13/08.

Claims 1-4, 6-10, 15, 16, 18, 19, 27, 35, 39 and 40 are being examined in this action.

Claims 42-44 have been cancelled. Claims 1-4, 6-10, 15, 16, 18, 19, 27, 35, 39 and 40 are

rejected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 16, line 2 recites "dextran sulfate (>100,000 MW)". The claim is indefinite because it is unclear as to whether the limitation contained in the parentheses is actually a required part of the claim or merely recited for exemplary purposes only. It is suggested that the limitation contained in parentheses "(>100,000 MW)" be either positively recited or deleted.

\* \* \* \* \*

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 1615

Claims 1-4, 6-10, 15, 16, 19, 27, 35, 39 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saito *et al.* (hereinafter "Saito") (U.S. Patent No. 6,344,209) in view of Bell *et al.* (hereinafter "Bell") (U.S. Pat. Appln. Publn. No. 2002/0055143 A1).

**Saito ('209)** teaches an apatite-coated solid composition containing a biodegradable polymer, an apatite-coated solid composition containing a biodegradable polymer and a medicinal substance having sustained release properties and a method for producing the solid composition (see column 1, lines 6-10); (col. 2, line 39 – col. 3, line 37) and Abstract.

Suitable biodegradable polymers disclosed include hyaluronates, polyethylene glycol and gelatin, for example (col. 4, lines 43-64).

Suitable medicinal substances disclosed are anti-tumor agents including antineoplastic agents such as cisplatin (col. 6, line 65 – col. 7, line 3). The medicinal substance is employed before molding with the aid of suitable excipients such as calcium sulfate hemihydrate (col. 15, lines 21-33).

The pharmaceutical composition can be produced by dissolving a biodegradable polymer in which the medicinal substance is dispersed and forming the solution into spheres, rods, needles, pellets, films or the like by an appropriate method (col. 17, lines 59-67).

In accordance with the invention, a substrate, i.e., (1) a solid composition containing a biodegradable polymer, (2) a solid composition containing a biodegradable polymer and a medicinal substance is immersed in an apatite-forming buffer solution so as to coat the surface of the substrate with apatite. The substrate is preferably used in granular form (granules, fine particles, fine granules) (col. 15, lines 8-20); (col. 16, lines 1-9). Also see column 3, lines 18-

37), whereby Saito teaches a method for producing the solid composition comprising a biodegradable polymer and medicinal agent.

The apatite-coated solid composition can be processed into an injectable product by suspending the composition together with a dispersant, using for example, polysaccharides such as hyaluronic acid (col. 20, lines 9-32).

Acids such as aspartic acid and glutamic acid are disclosed at column 14, lines 64-65. This teaching meets the limitation of a 'complexing agent' as in instant claim 10.

Saito teaches that the apatite-coated solid composition can be used directly or used as a material for the manufacture of various dosage forms. Parenteral dosage forms can be administered topically (e.g., subcutaneous injections, implants, etc.) (col. 19, line 66 - col. 20, line 8).

The apatite-coated solid composition can be used to treat and repair bone tissue after surgery for lung cancer, breast cancer, etc. (col. 20, lines 43-58).

The examples at columns 22-24 demonstrate processes for preparing the apatite-coated solid compositions which contain biodegradable polymers.

Saito does not teach the matrix polymer - dextran sulfate.

**Bell ('143)** teaches bone precursor compositions suitable for injection, which contain glucosaminoglycans and polysaccharides, including dextran sulfate, chondroitin sulfate and hyaluronic acid (see ¶s [0072-0073]) as well as inorganic compounds such as calcium sulfate hemihydrate, therapeutic agents and colony stimulating factors (CSF). The glucosaminoglycans

Art Unit: 1615

and polysaccharides (i.e., dextran sulfate) are useful in the development or regeneration of tissue structure and function (¶ [0068]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the glucosaminoglycans and polysaccharides (i.e., dextran sulfate of Bell within the coated solid compositions of Saito. One would do so with a reasonable expectation of success because Bell teaches bone precursor compositions that comprise polysaccharides, such as dextran sulfate, which contain biological, physiological and structural information for the development or regeneration of tissue structure and function. The expected result would be an improved composition for treating diseases and conditions of bone.

\* \* \* \* \*

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saito *et al.* (hereinafter "Saito") (U.S. Patent No. 6,344,209) in view of Bell *et al.* (hereinafter "Bell") (U.S. Pat. Appln. Publn. No. 2002/0055143 A1) as applied to claims 1-4, 6-10, 15, 16, 19, 27, 35, 39 and 40 above and further in view of Petersen *et al.* (hereinafter "Petersen") (U.S. Pat. Appln. Publn. No. 2002/0071827 A1).

The teachings of Saito are discussed above. Saito does not teach hydroxypropylmethyl cellulose (HPMC).

**Petersen** (**'827)** teaches a bone graft substitute composition that may include a mixture comprising calcium sulfate hemihydrate, plasticizing substances - cellulose derivatives such as hydroxypropylmethyl cellulose, bioactive agents such as hyaluronic acid, growth factors, bone marrow, etc. and additives such as antitumor agents. See ¶s [0014]-[0020]; [0041]-[0045]. The

bone graft substitute composition can be mixed into a paste and then loaded into a syringe and ejected for an extended period of time [0016].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the HPMC of Petersen within the apatite-coated solid composition of Saito. One would do so with a reasonable expectation of success because Petersen teaches inclusion of cellulose derivatives (i.e., HPMC) as an effective means to provide beneficial effects and properties, such as plasticizing properties. The expected result would be an enhanced composition for the treatment of diseases, such as cancer.

\* \* \* \* \*

Claims 1-4, 6-10, 15, 16, 18, 19, 27, 35, 39 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Petersen *et al.* (hereinafter "Petersen") (U.S. Pat. Appln. Publn. No. 2002/0071827 A1) in view of Bell *et al.* (hereinafter "Bell") (U.S. Pat. Appln. Publn. No. 2002/0055143 A1).

**Petersen (\*827)** teaches a bone graft substitute composition that may include a mixture comprising calcium sulfate hemihydrate, plasticizing substances - cellulose derivatives such as hydroxypropylmethyl cellulose, bioactive agents such as hyaluronic acid, growth factors, bone marrow, etc. and additives such as antitumor agents. See ¶s [0014]-[0020]; [0041]-[0045].

The bone graft substitute composition can be mixed into a paste and then loaded into a syringe and ejected for an extended period of time [0016].

Petersen does not teach the matrix polymer - dextran sulfate and a complexing agent - chondroitin sulfate.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,035 Page 8

Art Unit: 1615

Bell ('143) teaches bone precursor compositions suitable for injection, which contain

glucosaminoglycans and polysaccharides, including dextran sulfate, chondroitin sulfate and

hyaluronic acid (see ¶s [0072-0073]) as well as inorganic compounds such as calcium sulfate

hemihydrate, therapeutic agents and colony stimulating factors (CSF). The glucosaminoglycans

and polysaccharides (i.e., dextran sulfate, chondroitin sulfate) are useful in the development or

regeneration of tissue structure and function (¶ [0068]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

was made to incorporate the glucosaminoglycans and polysaccharides (i.e., chondroitin sulfate &

dextran sulfate) taught by Bell within the bone graft substitute compositions of Petersen. One

would do so with a reasonable expectation of success because Bell teaches bone precursor

compositions that comprise polysaccharides, such as dextran sulfate and glucosaminoglycans

such as chondroitin sulfate which contain biological, physiological and structural information for

the development or regeneration of tissue structure and function. The expected result would be

an improved composition for treating diseases and conditions of bone.

\* \* \* \* \*

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re* 

Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- (1) Claims 1-4, 9, 10, 16, 19, 27 and 35 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3, 13, 15-19 and 29 of U.S. Patent No. 6,391,336 ('336 Patent). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the '336 Patent also claims a solid composition comprising an aqueous mixture of: a) an antineoplastic agent, b) a calcium sulfate hemihydrate and a complexing agent, whereby the composition is based on the hydration reaction product of the aqueous mixture.
- (2) Claims 1-4, 6-10, 16, 19, 27 and 35 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 3-7, 12-14, 16-17, 20, 28, 44-45 and 48-49 of U.S. Patent No. 6,497,901 ('901 Patent). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the '901 Patent also claims a matrix delivery system comprising a) calcium sulfate, b) a conditioning agent, c) a matrix polymer, d) a complexing agent and e) an antineoplastic agent, whereby the matrix delivery system becomes a solid by hydration.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,035 Page 10

Art Unit: 1615

(3) Claims 39 and 40 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double

patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6, 17, 18, 33 and 35-39 of U.S. Patent No.

6,630,486 ('486 Patent). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not

patentably distinct from each other because the '486 Patent also claims a method of producing

sustained release of an active agent comprising administering a solid composition comprised of

a) an active agent, b) calcium sulfate hemihydrat, c) a matrix polymer and/or d) a complexing

agent, whereby the composition is in the form that includes a bead, tablet, wafer, sphere, granule

or cylinder.

(4) Claims 1-4, 6-8, 16, 19 and 27 are provisionally rejected on the ground of

nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 73, 74 and 76-

80 of copending Application No. 10/838,303 ('303 application). Although the conflicting claims

are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the '303 application

also claims a solid composition for the controlled release of an active agent comprising a)

calcium sulfatel, b) a conditioning agent (calcium stearate) and a matrix polymer whereby the

composition is a solid matrix due to the hydration of the calcium sulfate.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting

claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

--No claims are allowed at this time.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,035 Page 11

Art Unit: 1615

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Humera N. Sheikh whose telephone number is (571) 272-0604.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday during regular business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael Woodward, can be reached on (571) 272-8373. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have any questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Humera N. Sheikh/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1615

hns

November 10, 2008

Application/Control Number: 10/518,035

Page 12

Art Unit: 1615